A Class of Estimators for Mean of Symmetrical Population when the Variance is not known

R. Karan Singh and S.M.H. Zaidi

Department of Statistics, Lucknow University, Lucknow

(Received: December, 1991)

SUMMARY

A class of estimators of population mean (μ) when the variance (σ^2) is unknown, is proposed in case of symmetrical populations. Bias and mean square error are found for the class. Various estimators are shown to belong to the class and a sub-class of optimum estimators in the sense of having minimum mean square error is found.

Keywords: Class of estimators, Coefficient of variation, Mean square error and optimum estimators, Unknown variance.

Introduction

Utilising known square of coefficient of variation $C^2\left(=\frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^2}\right)$, Searles [2] proposed an improved estimator of population mean μ ; but when C^2 is unknown, the problem of estimation consists of estimators using the estimates of C^2 given by

$$\hat{C}^2 = \frac{s^2}{\overline{y}^2} \qquad \text{or} \qquad \hat{C}^2 = \frac{s^2}{\overline{y}^2} \left(1 - \frac{s^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \right)^{-1}$$

where $\overline{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$ and $s^2 = \frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2$ for the values y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n of a random sample of size n.

In this paper, with $u=\frac{s^2}{n\,\overline{y}^2}$, we propose the following class of estimators for population mean μ

$$t = f(\overline{y}, s^2/n \overline{y}^2) = f(\overline{y}, u)$$

where $f(\overline{y}, u)$ satisfying the validity conditions ρf Taylor's series expansion, is the function of (\overline{y}, u) such that $f(\mu, 0) = \mu$, first order partial derivative

$$W_{1h} = 1 - W_{2h}$$

To obtain the optimum values of n', v_h and k_h we adopt a stepwise minimization technique. First using Lagrange's multiplier we minimize the variance of \overline{y}_{ds}^* (see (2.5)) subject to the fixed expected cost C* given in (5.2). This results in the optimum value of k_h given by

$$k_{0h} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(C_{22h} \left(1 - S_{2yh}^2 \right) \right)^2 + 4 C_{22h} S_{2yh}^2 C_h \Delta_h \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} / S_{2yh}^2 C_h$$
 (5.3)

where

$$C_h = C_{2h}W_h + C_{21h}W_{1h}$$

$$\Delta_h \; = \; W_h S_{yh}^2 - W_{2h} S_{2yh}^2$$

By plugging k_{0h} in (5.2) and (2.5) and following Cochran [1] the optimum value of $v_{\rm L}$ is

$$v_{0h} = \{ C_1 (\Delta_h + W_{2h} k_{0h} S_{2yh}^2) \}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \{ (S_y^2 - \Sigma W_h S_{yh}^2) (C_h + C_{22h} W_{2h} / k_{0h}) \}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(5.4)

The optimum n' is hence obtained for either fixed cost or fixed variance using (5.2) or (2.5). For e_{DC}^* , the optimum values of k_h and v_h are obtained by replacing S_{yh}^2 in (5.3) and (5.4) with $S_{yh}^2 + \lambda^2 S_{xh}^2 - 2\lambda S_{xyh}$. While for e_{RC}^* and e_{DS}^* , S_{yh}^2 is replaced with $S_{yh}^2 + R^2 S_{xh}^2 - 2RS_{xyh}$ and $S_{yh}^2 + \lambda_h^2 S_{xh}^2 - 2\lambda_h S_{xyh}$ respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cochran, W.G., 1977. Sampling Techniques, 3rd Edition, N.Y. Wiley P.
- [2] Hansen, M.H. and Hurwitz, W.N., 1946. The Problem of Non response in Sample Surveys. *Journ. of the American Statistical Association*, 41, 517-529.
- [3] Ige, A.F. and Tripathi, T.P., 1987. On Double Sampling for Stratification and Use of Auxiliary information. *Jour. Ind. Soc. Agric Stat*, 39. No 2, 191-201.
- [4] Murthy, M.N., 1967. Sampling Theory and Methods, 1st ed. 127-130.
- [5] Rao, J.N.K., 1973. On Double Sampling for Stratification and Analytical Surveys. *Biometrika*, 60, 125-133.
- [6] Rao, P.S.R.S., 1986. Ratio Estimation with Subsampling the non-respondents. Survey Methodology, 12, 2, 217-230.

$$f'_1 = \frac{\delta \, f(\,\overline{y},\,u)}{\delta \, \overline{y}} \bigg|_{(\mu,\,0)} = 1, \quad \text{second order partial derivative} \ \frac{\delta^2 f(\,\overline{y},\,u)}{\delta \, \overline{y}^2} = 0 \ \text{ and}$$

second order partial derivative
$$f''_{12} = \frac{\delta^2 f(\bar{y}, u)}{\delta \bar{y} \delta u} \bigg|_{(u, 0)} = \frac{f'_2 \delta}{\mu}$$
 (with f'_2 being the

first order partial derivative of $f(\bar{y}, u)$ with respect to u at the point $(\mu, 0)$ and δ taking one of the two values 'zero and unity' depending upon the particular form of an estimator. For example, for the estimator $\bar{y} + ku$, δ takes value zero whereas for the estimator $\bar{y} (1-u)$, $\delta = 1$.

Some special cases of the generalized estimator t when σ^2 unknown and k, g, α being the characterising scalars, are

(1)
$$t_1 = \overline{y} + k \frac{s^2}{n \overline{v}^2} = \overline{y} + ku$$

(2)
$$t_2 = \overline{y} + k \frac{s^2}{n \overline{y}^2} \left(1 + g \frac{s^2}{n \overline{y}^2} \right)$$
$$= \overline{y} + ku (1 + gu)$$

(3)
$$t_3 = \overline{y} \left[1 + \frac{ks^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \left(1 + g \frac{s^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \right)^{-\alpha} \right] \text{ by Singh [3]}$$
$$= \overline{y} \left(1 + ku(1 + gu)^{-\alpha} \right)$$

(4)
$$t_4 = \overline{y} \left[1 - \frac{s^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \left(1 + \frac{s^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \right)^{-1} \right]$$
 by Srivastava [4, 5]
$$= \overline{y} \left[1 - u(1+u)^{-1} \right]$$

(5)
$$t_5 = \overline{y} \left(1 - \frac{s^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \right)$$
 by Srivastava [4,5]
$$= \overline{y} (1 - u)$$

(6)
$$t_6 = \overline{y} \left[1 + \frac{ks^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \left(1 - \frac{ks^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \right)^{-1} \right]$$
 by Thompson [9]
$$= \overline{y} \left[1 + ku \left(1 - ku \right)^{-1} \right]$$

(7)
$$t_7 = \overline{y} \left(1 + \frac{s^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \right) \quad \text{by Upadhyaya and Srivastava [10]}$$
$$= \overline{y} (1 + u)$$

(8)
$$t_8 = \overline{y} \left[1 + \frac{s^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \left(1 + \frac{s^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \right)^{-1} \right]$$
 by Sahai and Ray [1]
$$= \overline{y} \left[1 + u(1 + u)^{-1} \right]$$

(9)
$$t_9 = \overline{y} \left[1 + \frac{s^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \left(1 + \frac{s^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \right)^{-2} \right]$$
 by Srivastava and Banarsi [6]
$$= \overline{y} \left[1 + u(1+u)^{-2} \right]$$

(10)
$$t_{10} = \overline{y} \left[1 + \frac{ks^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \left(1 + \frac{gs^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \right)^{-1} \right]$$
 by Srivastava and Bhatnagar [7]
$$= \overline{y} \left[1 + ku(1 + gu)^{-1} \right]$$

(11)
$$t_{11} = \overline{y} \left[1 + \frac{s^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \left(1 - \frac{s^2}{n\overline{y}^2} \right)^{-1} \right]$$
 by Srivastava and Dwivedi [8]
$$= \overline{y} \left[1 + u(1 - u)^{-1} \right]$$

where various forms of the function $f(\overline{y}, u)$ are given by the expression on right hand sides of (1) to (11) in terms of \overline{y} and u.

It may be mentioned here that all the estimators listed from (1) to (11) belong to the class t and satisfy the condition $f(\mu, 0) = \mu$ with $f'_1 = 1$ and $f''_{12} = f'_2 \delta/\mu$, $\delta = 1$ or 0.

2. BIAS AND MEAN SQUARE ERROR OF t

To find the bias and mean square error (MSE) of t upto terms of order $O(n^{-2})$, let

$$\overline{y} = \mu + z$$
 and $s^2 = \sigma^2 + v$ (2.1)

where z and v are of order $0 (n^{-1/2})$ with E(z) = E(v) = 0, and $E(z^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \frac{\mu^2 C^2}{n}$.

With $\overline{y}^* = \mu + \theta(\overline{y} - \mu)$ and $u^* = \theta u$, $0 < \theta < 1$, expanding $t = f(\overline{y}, u)$ in third order Taylor's series about the point $(\mu, 0)$, we have

$$t = f(\mu, 0) + (\overline{y} - \mu) \frac{\delta f(\overline{y}, u)}{\delta \overline{y}} \bigg|_{(\mu, 0)} + u \frac{\delta f(\overline{y}, u)}{\delta u} \bigg|_{(\mu, 0)}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2!} \left\{ (\overline{y} - \mu)^2 \frac{\delta^2 f(\overline{y}, u)}{\delta \overline{y}^2} \right\}_{(\mu, 0)} + 2(\overline{y} - \mu) \cdot u \frac{\delta^2 f(\overline{y}, u)}{\delta \overline{y} \delta u} \bigg|_{(\mu, 0)}$$

$$+ u^2 \frac{\delta^2 f(\overline{y}, u)}{\delta u^2} \bigg|_{(\mu, 0)} \bigg\} + \frac{1}{3!} \left\{ (\overline{y} - \mu) \frac{\delta}{\delta \overline{y}} + u \frac{\delta}{\delta u} \right\}^3 f(\overline{y}^*, u^*)$$

$$(2.2)$$

Now, we have
$$\frac{\delta f(\overline{y}, u)}{\delta \overline{y}}\Big|_{(\mu, 0)} = 1$$
, $\frac{\delta^2 f(\overline{y}, u)}{\delta \overline{y}^2} = 0$,
$$\frac{\delta^3 f(\overline{y}, u)}{\delta \overline{y}^3} = 0$$
, $\frac{\delta^3 f(\overline{y}, u)}{\delta \overline{y}^2 \delta u} = 0$; and further for $\overline{y} - \mu = z$ and $\left| \frac{z}{\mu} \right| < 1$,
$$u = \frac{s^2}{n\overline{y}^2} = \frac{\sigma^2 (1 + v/\sigma^2)}{n\mu^2 \left(1 + \frac{z}{\mu}\right)^2} = \frac{C^2}{n} \left(1 + \frac{v}{\sigma^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{z}{\mu}\right)^{-2}$$
$$= \frac{C^2}{n} \left(1 + \frac{v}{\sigma^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2z}{\mu} + \dots\right)$$

so that

$$\begin{split} t &= \, \mu + z + \frac{C^2}{n} \Biggl(1 + \frac{v}{\sigma^2} \Biggr) \Biggl(1 - \frac{2z}{\mu} + \dots \Biggr) f'_2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2!} \Biggl\{ 0 + 2z \, \frac{C^2}{n} \Biggl(1 + \frac{v}{\sigma^2} \Biggr) \Biggl(1 + \frac{z}{\mu} \Biggr)^2 \, f''_{12} + \frac{C^4}{n^2} \Biggl(1 + \frac{v}{\sigma^2} \Biggr)^2 \Biggl(1 + \frac{z}{\mu} \Biggr)^{-4} \, f''_2 \Biggr\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{3!} \Biggl\{ 0 + 3(\overline{y} - \mu)^2 \, u \, \frac{\delta^2 \, f \left(\overline{y}^*, u^* \right)}{\delta \overline{y}^{*2} \, \delta \, u^*} + 3 \, \overline{(y} - \mu) \, u^2 \, \frac{\delta^3 \, f \left(\overline{y}^*, u^* \right)}{\delta \, \overline{y}^* \, \delta \, u^{*2}} \\ &+ u^3 \, \frac{\delta^3 \, f \left(\overline{y}^*, u^* \right)}{\delta \, u^{*3}} \, \Biggr\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \mu + z + \frac{C^2}{n} \left(1 + \frac{v}{\sigma^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2z}{\mu} + 3 \frac{z^2}{\mu^2} - 4 \frac{z^3}{\mu^3} + 5 \frac{z^5}{\mu^5} - \dots \right) f_{12} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2!} \left\{ \frac{2z \, C^2}{n} \left(1 + \frac{v}{\sigma^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2z}{\mu} + \frac{3z^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{4z^3}{\mu^3} + \frac{5z^4}{\mu^4} - \dots \right) f_{12}'' \right. \\ &+ \frac{C^4}{n^2} \left(1 + \frac{v}{\sigma^2} \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{z}{\mu} \right)^{-4} f_{2}'' \right\} + \frac{1}{3!} \left\{ 0 + 3 \, \overline{(y} - \mu)^2 \, \frac{u \, \delta^2 \, f \, (\overline{y}^*, u^*)}{\delta \overline{y}^{*2} \, \delta \, u^*} \right. \\ &+ 3 \, \overline{(y} - \mu) \, u^2 \, \delta^3 \, \frac{f \, (\overline{y}^*, u^*)}{\delta \overline{y}^* \, \delta \, u^{*2}} + u^3 \, \delta^3 \, \frac{f \, (\overline{y}^*, u^*)}{\delta \, u^{*3}} \right\} \\ &= \mu + z + \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \left(C^2 - 2C^2 \frac{z}{\mu} + \frac{v}{\mu^2} + 3C^2 \frac{z^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{2z \, v}{\mu^3} \right) - 4C^2 \frac{z^3}{\mu^3} \right. \\ &+ 3 \, \frac{z^2 v}{\mu^4} + 5C^2 \frac{z^4}{\mu^4} - 4 \frac{z^4 v}{\mu^6} + \dots \right\} f_2' + \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \left(C^2 z - 2C^2 \frac{z^2}{\mu} + \frac{z \, v}{\mu^2} \right) \right. \\ &+ 3C^2 \frac{z^3}{\mu^2} - 2 \, \frac{z^2 \, v}{\mu^3} - 4C^2 \frac{z^4}{\mu^3} + 3 \, \frac{z^3 \, v}{\mu^4} + 5C^2 \frac{z^5}{\mu^4} - 4 \, \frac{z^4 \, v}{\mu^5} \right. \\ &+ 5 \, \frac{z^5 \, v}{\mu^6} + \dots \right\} f_{12}' + \frac{C^4}{2n^2} \left(1 + \frac{v}{\sigma^2} \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{z}{\mu} \right)^{-4} f_{12}'' \\ &+ \frac{1}{3!} \left\{ 3 \, \overline{(y} - \mu)^2 \, u \, \delta^3 \, \frac{f \, (\overline{y}^*, u^*)}{\delta \overline{y}^{*2} \, \delta \, u^*} + 3 \, \overline{(y} - \mu) \, u^2 \, \frac{\delta^3 \, f \, (\overline{y}^*, u^*)}{\delta \overline{y}^* \, \delta \, u^{*2}} \right. \\ &+ u^3 \, \frac{\delta^3 \, f \, (\overline{y}^*, u^*)}{\delta \, u^{*3}} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Taking expectation in (2.3), to the terms of order $0 (n^{-2})$ for symmetrical populations, we have

$$E(t) = \mu + E \frac{C^2}{n} \left(1 + \frac{3z^2}{\mu^2} \right) f'_2 - \frac{2z^2C^2}{n\mu} f''_{12} + \frac{C^4}{2n^2} f''_2$$

$$= \mu + \frac{C^2}{n} \left(1 + \frac{3C^2}{n} \right) f'_2 - \frac{2\mu C^4}{n} f''_{12} + \frac{C^4}{2n^2} f''_2$$
or Bias (t) = E(t) - \mu
$$= \frac{C^2}{n} \left[\left(1 + \frac{C^2}{n} \right) f'_2 + \frac{2C^2}{n} \left(f'_2 - \mu f''_{12} \right) + \frac{C^2}{2n} f''_2 \right]$$
 (2.4)

Again, from (2.3), we have

$$\begin{split} \text{MSE (t)} &= E \, (t - \mu)^2 \\ &= E \Bigg[\, z + \frac{1}{n} \Bigg\{ \Bigg(C^2 - 2C^2 \frac{z}{\mu} + \frac{v}{\mu^2} + 3C^2 \frac{z^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{2z \, v}{\mu^3} \Bigg) - 4C^2 \frac{z^3}{\mu^3} \\ &\quad + 3 \, \frac{z^2 \, v}{\mu^4} + 5C^2 \, \frac{z^4}{\mu^4} - 4 \, \frac{z^3 \, v}{\mu^5} + 5 \, \frac{z^4 \, v}{\mu^6} + \dots \Bigg\} \, f'_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \Bigg\{ \Bigg(C^2 z - 2C^2 \frac{z^2}{\mu} + \frac{z \, v}{\mu^2} \Bigg) + 3C^2 \frac{z^3}{\mu^2} - \frac{2z^2 \, v}{\mu^3} - 4C^2 \frac{z^4}{\mu^3} \\ &\quad + 3 \, \frac{z^3 \, v}{\mu^4} + 5C^2 \frac{z^5}{\mu^4} - \frac{4z^4 \, v}{\mu^5} + \frac{5z^5 \, v}{\mu^6} + \dots \Bigg\} \, f''_{12} \\ &\quad + \frac{C^4}{2n^2} \Bigg(1 + \frac{v}{\sigma^2} \Bigg)^2 \Bigg(1 + \frac{z}{\mu} \Bigg)^{-4} \, f''_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3!} \Bigg\{ 3 \, \overline{(y} - \mu)^2 \, u \, \frac{\delta^3 \, f \, (\overline{y}^*, u^*)}{\delta \overline{y}^{*2} \, \delta \, u^*} + 3 \, \overline{(y} - \mu) \, u^2 \, \frac{\delta^3 \, f \, (\overline{y}^*, u^*)}{\delta \overline{y}^* \, \delta \, u^{*2}} \\ &\quad + u^3 \, \frac{\delta^3 \, f \, (\overline{y}^*, u^*)}{\delta \, u^{*3}} \Bigg\} \Bigg]^2 \end{split}$$

whence, upto terms of order 0 (n⁻²), the mean square error of t is

$$MSE\left(t\right) \,=\, E\Bigg[\,\,z^2 + \frac{C^4}{n^2}\,(f'_2)^2 + \frac{2z}{n}\Bigg(\,C^2 - 2C^2\,\frac{z}{\mu} + \frac{v}{\mu^2}\,\Bigg)f'_2 + 2\,\frac{z^2}{n}\,C^2\,f''_{\,12}\,\Bigg]$$

from which, for symmetrical populations, upto terms of order $0 ext{ (n}^{-2})$ the mean square of t is

MSE (t) =
$$\frac{\mu^2 C^2}{n} + \frac{C^4}{n^2} (f'_2)^2 - 4 \mu \frac{C^4}{n^2} f'_2 + \frac{2\mu^2 C^4}{n^2} f''_{12}$$

= $\frac{\mu^2 C^2}{n} \left[1 + \frac{C^2}{n} \left\{ \frac{(f'_2)^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{4 f'_2}{\mu} + \frac{2 f'_2 \delta}{\mu} \right\} \right]$ (2.5)

which is minimised for

$$f'_2 = \mu (2 - \delta)$$
 (2.6)

where δ takes one of the two values 'O and 1'; and the minimum mean square error is given by

MSE (t)_{min.} =
$$\frac{\mu^2 C^2}{n} \left[1 + \frac{C^2}{n} \left\{ (2 - \delta)^2 - 4(2 - \delta) + 2(2 - \delta) \delta \right\} \right]$$

= $\frac{\mu^2 C^2}{n} \left[1 - \frac{C^2}{n} (2 - \delta)^2 \right]$ (2.7)

3. Concluding Remarks

(a) From (2.6) and (2.7), the class of estimators t attains its minimum value for $f'_2 = \mu (2 - \delta)$, $\delta = \mu f''_{12}/f'_2$ and the minimum mean square error is

MSE (t)_{min.} =
$$\frac{\mu^2 C^2}{n} \left[1 - \frac{C^2}{n} (2 - \delta)^2 \right]$$
 (3.1)

Thus, any estimator from the class t cannot have mean square error less than the expression given by (3.1).

(b) Bias, mean square error and the related results to the estimators listed in section 1 may easily be found as special cases of this study. For example, with k,g and α being the characterizing scalars for the estimator

$$t_3 = \overline{y} \left[1 + \frac{k s^2}{n \overline{y}^2} \left(1 + \frac{g s^2}{n \overline{y}^2} \right)^{-\alpha} \right]$$
$$= \overline{y} [1 + k u (1 + g u)^{-\alpha}]$$

by Singh [3], we have $f''_{12} = k$, $f'_{2} = k \mu$, $\delta = \frac{\mu f''_{12}}{f'_{2}} = 1$

so that $f_2' = \mu (2 - \delta) = k \mu$ satisfying (2.6) gives the value of k=1 for which MSE(t₃) is minimised and the minimum mean square error

MSE
$$(t_3)_{min.} = \frac{\mu^2 C^2}{n} \left(1 - \frac{C^2}{n} \right)$$
 (3.2)

is obtained from (3.1) by putting $\delta = 1$. Further, for the estimator t_3 , we have $f'_2 = k \mu$, $f''_{12} = k$ and $f''_2 = -2\alpha k g \mu$ so that the bias of t_3 from (2.4) is

Bias
$$(t_3) = \frac{k \mu C^2}{n} \left[1 + \frac{C^2}{n} (1 - \alpha g) \right]$$
 (3.3)

which, for k= g= 1, reduces to

bias
$$(t_3) = \frac{\mu C^2}{n} \left[1 - (\alpha - 1) \frac{C^2}{n} \right]$$
 (3.4)

It may be mentioned here that the expressions (3.2) and (3.4) are the same expressions as obtained by Singh [3]. Similarly, the results of all the estimators listed in section 1 may easily be shown to be special cases of those of the generalized estimator t.

(c) For the estimators having $f''_{12} = 0$, that is $\delta = 0$ we have from (2.7)

MSE (t)_{min.} =
$$\frac{\mu^2 C^2}{n} \left(1 - \frac{4C^2}{n} \right)$$
 (3.5)

For example, the estimator $t_1 = \overline{y} + k \frac{s^2}{n \overline{y}^2} = \overline{y} + k u$, k being the characterizing scalar, has $f'_2 = k$, $f''_{12} = 0$ and $\delta = 0$ so that it attains, for the optimum value $f'_2 = \mu (2 - \delta) = k$ satisfying (2.6) and giving $k = 2\mu$ the minimum mean square error given by (3.5).

(d) The estimator like t_3 has the practical advantage over the estimator like t_1 , since the optimum value k=1 minimizing mean square error for t_3 is independent of parameter whereas the optimum value $k=2\mu$ in case of t_1 depends upon the parameter μ . In fact, for the sub-set of estimators of the form $t_s=\overline{y}\,h\,(u)$ of the class t where h(u) is the function of u such that $h\,(0)=1$, there is no practical difficulty in using the optimum value $t_2'=\mu\,h'\,(0)=\mu$ (the value of δ for t_s is unity and $h'\,(0)$ is the first derivative of h(u) with respect to u at u =0) giving $h'\,(0)=1$, a quantity independent of the parameter.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors with thanks to the referee for the valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Sahai, A. and Ray, S.K., 1979. On estimating the mean of symmetrical populations, *Jour. Ind. Soc. Agri. Statist.*, 31(3), 45-48.
- [2] Searles, D.T., 1964. The utilization of a known coefficient of variation in estimation procedure, *Jour. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, 59, 1225-26.
- [3] Singh, H.P., 1987. A note on estimating the mean of symmetrical populations, *Jour. Ind. Soc. Agri. Statist.*, 39(2),171-180.
- [4] Srivastava, V.K., 1974. On the use of the coefficient of variation in estimating mean. *Jour. Ind. Soc. Agri. Statist.*, 26(2), 33-36.
- [5] Srivastava, V.K., 1980. A note on the estimation of mean in normal population, Metrika, 27, 99-102.
- [6] Srivastava, S.R. and Banarsi, 1982. A note on the estimation of mean of a symmetrical population, *Jour. Ind. Soc. Agri. Statist.*, 34(3), 79-81.
- [7] Srivastava, V.K. and Bhatnagar, S., 1983. A revisit to the use of coefficient of variation in estimating mean, *Jour. Ind. Soc. Agri. Statist.*, 35(1), 67-71.
- [8] Srivastava, V.K. and Dwivedi, T.D., 1985. Improving estimation of population mean: Some remarks, *Jour. Ind. Soc. Agri. Statist.*, 37(2), 154-157.
- [9] Thompson, J.R., 1968. Some shrinkage techniques for estimating the mean, *Jour. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, 63, 113-123.
- [10] Upadhyaya, L.N. and Srivastava, S.R., 1976. A note on the use of coefficient of variation in estimating mean, *Jour. Ind. Soc. Agri. Statist.*, 28(2), 97-99.